

MINUTES of the Council Meeting held on Monday 6th September 2021, at 7.30 pm – in the Ballroom, Bentham Town Hall.

Present: Cllrs Swales (Chair), Adams, Faraday, Handford, Hill, Marshall, Taylor and Wills. DCllrs Brockbank and Handley. The Clerk Christine Downey. Between 50 and 60 members of the public.

- 115 Apologies from **members** unable to attend: -
- 115.1 To Note Apologies for absence given in advance of the meeting.
Cllrs Bridgeman, Burton and Stannard.
- 115.2 To consider acceptance of reasons for absence.
None.
- 116 Declaration of interests: -
- 116.1 To Note Declarations of Interest not already declared under members Code of Conduct or members register of Disclosable Pecuniary Interests.
**Cllr Marshall declared an interest in respect of item 126 (accounts for payment).
And during the meeting he declared an interest in 124.1.3 (planning application).**
- 116.2 To approve dispensation requests.
None.
- 117 To Receive Comment & Concerns: Public Participation – to hear matters raised by members of the public or Cllrs with an interest (that are allowed to speak) either on agenda items or for future consideration.
(NB: for non-agenda items Members of the Council are not permitted to respond, except to ask questions for the purposes of clarification).

**A) Comments were made regarding the Pre-Application Consultation:
Allocated Housing Site in Craven Local Plan (Site HB026): Land off Springfield Crescent, High Bentham.**

Dave McDermot: Drainage.

Springfield got its name for a good reason; the area is sitting on a series of underground springs that once drained into a pond near Lairgill Lodge. The soil is clay-based and is prone to retaining a lot of water with a lot of surface run off especially in the winter months or with bouts of continual or heavy rainfall. I understand that the water retention investigation process undertaken earlier in the year happened after one of the driest Springs on record and would certainly not be typical.

Currently, water drains off the land in two main directions. Firstly, on the North side, it drains down into Lairgill Beck, the proposed plan takes this into consideration with the inclusion of the crates. But that is a small part of the story.

The rest of the field due to its inclines and troughs drains into gardens, and onto Springfield Crescent, Springfield and Springfield Terrace. In the past, both these flows have caused significant problems. Wenning Avenue has been flooded from the beck and there is hardly a house adjacent to the proposed development that has not been affected by the run off with a lot of residents having to take some sort of remedial action.

On Springfield Crescent, numbers 16-29 have flooding in gardens, garages or both while on Springfield Terrace numbers 34 to 40 have suffered flooding in cellars with numbers 38 and 40 having to install pumps. On Springfield itself, most houses adjacent to the lower field have needed to install drainage in gardens to lessen the effect of the run off, and 14

Springfield has also needed to install a pump in the cellar to prevent flooding. At number 31 the water funnels into the garden creating a river running down the drive into surrounding gardens and onto the road.

These examples illustrate a fragile and problematic environment which will only worsen with the proposed development as we are told that climate change is generating more severe weather events on a more frequent basis. With the groundworks, infrastructure and hard-landscaping that such a development would introduce, a bad situation will get a lot worse for the existing residents. And, once the developer has left there will be no recourse for the extra costs that may be incurred in residents having to implement additional drainage solutions.

Janet Brook: Privacy and overshadowing.

This plan in my view is deceptive, and presented to dress down the impact that this development will have on the privacy and amenity of the existing residents. It does not represent the topology of the site. The woodland area in the middle shown on the plan does not exist it is my garden.

The new gardens on the far North and to the West of the development would be partially under trees and hedgerows. These trees are tens of years old, deciduous, and many have large overhanging branches which the developer or the new residents would be within their rights to cut down. Thereby decreasing privacy further and impacting on the resident wildlife especially the bats. There is a large established root system which helps with the drainage issue. Destruction of this during construction will de-stabilize the trees leaving them vulnerable in the high winds which funnel down the valley.

The incline of the site means that the residents at the North end of Springfield crescent will have their gardens and back windows overlooked by multiple houses and gardens. 31 Springfield will have 20 properties directly overlooking the garden and property leading to an overshadowing issue and loss of light on the western side. And, in this regard I would point you to the High Court ruling in Britton vs SOS.

If this development is allowed to go ahead in its present form it will have an adverse impact on the existing resident's enjoyment of their gardens and homes.

I would ask for a privacy and wildlife break of 10 metres to include the planting of water hungry and fast-growing trees to be included all around the development. These can be bought from the Woodland Trust at just a pound each. This would go a long way towards maintaining some of the privacy for the existing residents, help with the drainage and would retain at least some of the wildlife habitat for all the residents to enjoy.

I would also ask that a review of the type of houses and their orientation based on the topology of the site and their position to existing properties takes place.

Della Angel: Wildlife.

The field on which this development will be built is a haven for wildlife as it has not been mown for as long as any of the residents can remember and only occasionally has a few sheep or cows on it. There are resident bats and a barn owl is seen hunting regularly on the land and sitting in the trees. Buzzards and other hunting birds also use the area to feed. Hedgehogs are also resident along with Woodpeckers and multitudes of different birds which nest in the area both on the field and in the trees.

Your proposed development will have an adverse impact by increasing levels of artificial light. Light in the wrong place is pollution and will destroy the environment for the wildlife who are used to the black space. The construction light and noise will result in the loss of the wildlife from the area. Bats and Barn Owls are protected species and consideration needs to be given to these in the protection of their habitats. Recently I carried out a moth count and found 32 different species inhabiting the gardens and the field.

The field with its overhanging trees and hedgerows are key assets in the fight against climate change, the storage of CO2 as well as acting as a food source for the diverse wildlife.

The local plan for Bentham states that new development will bring environmental benefits. The proposed plan does not give any reassurance on these matters.

The NPPF (National Planning Policy Framework) states that any planning should minimise impacts and provide net gains on biodiversity, the proposed development in fact does the opposite.

Jon Brook: Urban Developers.

I notice that the representative of the developers has initiated a public consultation, but hasn't even bothered to stay to hear what the public have to say.

There are 46 properties on the proposed development – why 46? We have just heard from the developers, one reason, but let me give you another reason. There are 46 properties because Craven District's policy is to ask the developer for a sizeable contribution to local infrastructure for any development of more than 50 properties. You will notice the open-ended cul-de-sac on the proposal. This is to allow for further development in small increments, each one keeping under the 50-house limit. What I would remind the Council is that they are the gate keepers for Bentham's best interests. These developers only have their best interests at heart – which is making the most money possible whilst operating within the constraints of Craven District's plan.

This development will be twice the size of anything they have done before. They specialise in infill sites around the city of Preston, where fitting the maximum houses in the minimum space may be justified, but we are in a rural community where space isn't the issue.

According to Companies House, the developers have 1 employee, and 2.2 million pounds of debt. They will rely entirely on their preferred subcontractors to get this development built. They will not bring any jobs for local people. The last thing we want is for them to run out of money and leave Bentham with a part finished site, which Craven District will be desperate to be completed at any cost

In my opinion the developers will promise you the earth, but when we get our first wet winter and associated flooding, it's you, the town council that will get the complaints and Craven District will be expected to sort it out. If there are any expensive issues to resolve the developers will simply fold the 1 employee company and walk away.

Nobody will be held accountable for any flooding issues, that could arise after the build is completed.

I am not against this development. I understand the need for more housing, I want my children to be able to find somewhere to live as much as the next person, but you don't need to cram so many properties into such a limited area when there is plenty of land.

If you made a wild life break between the existing properties and then the road and then the houses, you would solve a lot of the issues that have been brought up by people tonight.

The wildlife break would act as a soak area, the reduced number of houses would reduce the traffic, and the privacy issue would be addressed. I implore the Council not to sleep walk into rubber stamping this proposal.

Stan Wilkinson: Traffic.

With regards to the proposed new build off Springfield Crescent I wish to object, highlighting the unsuitability and further negative impact of the development on road infrastructure.

The proposed access to the site is via Springfield Crescent. This is unsuitable to any further vehicular access. Indeed, it has major access issues for current residents.

The access to the crescent off the B6480 is tight both pulling in and pulling out; visibility is poor; the junction is in a deceleration area just after the 30MPH restrictions; speed and

weight of traffic coming down this road into Bentham is at present very high and very concerning

Non-residents park along the road, particularly at the junction which narrow the already poor access

Residents on Springfield crescent have shared drives necessitating cars parked on either side of the road. This leaves a very narrow access, unsuited for large or commercial vehicles.

Indeed, the road width is just 6.8m kerb to kerb – This is narrower than the 8.53m accepted minimum for a neighbourhood street

Traffic levels on the crescent with residents, non-residential turners and deliveries have increased considerably over the last three years.

This has impacted negatively on the condition of the highway and on safety and if we assume that each house on the development will have 1 car and will go in and out once a day that is 92 times a car will additionally use Springfield Crescent causing additional noise and disturbance to the residents.

Oliver Wilkinson: The Green at Springfield Cres

Thank you for allowing me to speak to the council tonight. I wish to speak in relation to the proposed development off Springfield Crescent.

I'm sure all of you on this council will be familiar with Springfield Crescent. Notably the pristine middle green, maintained by the residents for over 32 years. I'm sure many here will have been around the green and admired the manicured grass, the flowerbeds, rose bushes and trees; smiled at the children playing on the green or passed pleasantries with the residents. marvelled at how even the weeds had been scraped from cracks in the pavement and the road's edge. People from Bentham and, indeed, visitors from further afield comment on how beautiful and well-kept Springfield Crescent is; a real credit to the residents.

Perhaps there are a few on this council who will remember what the area was like before the residents began maintaining it? The uncultivated green; the long grass; the boggy. Indeed, the area was a quagmire at times due to draining issues and the off flow from the field off Springfield (proposed building site). The grass was chewed up from tyres and traffic where the green had been mounted. No flower beds or trees; weeds on the road and pavements. In short it was unloved.

Due to the residents taking the initiative the community on Springfield Crescent have worked together for over 32 years to improve the area; to dig the flower beds; plant the trees; cultivate the roses and daffodils; and mow the green fastidiously week on week; month after month; year after year. Indeed, we continue to do so.

What I want to say to the council is simple. Springfield Crescent is a success story of what community effort, hard work and taking a pride in where you live can achieve. It is a success story for Bentham; and indeed, much admired as a result. In short it is everything that this Town Council should stand up for.

As such it should be protected. It cannot, indeed it must not, be thrown under the tracks of the developers' bulldozers; nor should it be laid waste by the vagaries of some faceless bureaucratic 'Housing Plan' [the Craven Local Plan] to which responsibility is ceded.

Instead, it must be protected by this Town Council, not for the sole benefit of the residents of Springfield Crescent, but because Springfield Crescent epitomises the community spirit and pride in the town that this council should uphold above all else.

I therefore implore this Town Council to put its weight behind objecting to the proposed development off Springfield Crescent and, furthermore, that it makes this objection known to Craven District Council both now and in any future applications made in relation to the site.

B) Three speakers expressed concerns regarding the future of Bentham Youth Café.

Tess Tyndall: Chairperson Bentham Community Youth

The Youth Cafe building has been the beating heart of our community throughout the pandemic, supporting vulnerable children and their families.

According to the National Youth Agency Report, "Bentham has the highest deprivation indicators for young people in Craven and is seen as a hotspot of difficulty". Vulnerable children here are more likely to be targeted by gangs for county lines activities, drug and alcohol abuse.

Despite requests for improvements, the building has remained in a state of disrepair for many years, and is now to be sold.

Is the council willing to provide us with an interim space where our youngsters can meet once we vacate the present building?

This is not about any long-term future plans; this is about the here and now and the continuity of care for our current young people.

We thank the council for listening and trust they will respond positively.

Volunteer Youth Worker from Bentham Community Youth

This speaker supported the views expressed by Tess Tyndall, and stressed that provision for the young people of Bentham is absolutely vital and that suitable premises are essential to enable her to continue to deliver the much-needed youth work.

Wendy Dowbiggin

Wendy asked the council to continue to support the invaluable work being done by the Bentham Community Youth Group, particularly with regard to the provision of suitable premises.

118

To receive Correspondence on Planning Issues and agree a way forward and/or response. Pre-Application Consultation, Land off Springfield Crescent, High Bentham.

It was reported that: -

The council does not disagree with anything said by residents in the public participation section.

That the council will pass on the resident's concerns to Craven District Council, if and when the developer submits a planning application.

That a pre-application consultation is only the starting point for any development. Now the developer can liaise with local residents and come back with an improved proposal.

That the purpose of this pre-application consultation is to ensure that any planning application fully addresses the resident's various concerns, and that the scheme that is eventually submitted for planning approval is fit for purpose. Because something will be built on this site at some time.

The Craven Local Plan (Adopted 12/11/2019) made provision for the development of 82 house on this site. (The plan can be viewed in full on CDC website). Objections to building on this site at all should have been raised at the time the Craven Local Plan was under consultation.

That Bentham Town Council does not have the authority to grant or refuse any planning applications. The Council's role is to pass on comments and concerns for consideration by Craven District Council planning department, which it will do if and when a planning application is submitted.

This developer considers 82 houses on this site to be too many, because of the topography of the site, which slopes. They are suggesting 46 properties. It should be noted that there is nothing to stop another developer coming forward with a proposal for 82 houses. This developer has reported that they have encountered resistance to their proposal for 46 houses from Craven District Council, because CDC prefer the 82-house option.

The council must also bear in mind the need for homes for local people, and the need to support local people to stay and contribute to the future of the town and the community.

RESOLVED: That the council response to the pre-application consultation (to be made to JWPC Chartered Town Planners) is that: -

A) The developer should conduct a much more in-depth consultation with local residents.

B) that the council recommends that the developer hires a room at the town hall for a day, and schedules appointments for members of the public to come in, sit down, and discuss their concerns fully, on a one-to-one, face-to-face basis. That they could go as far as they liked with this idea, and put on an open-evening session as well, for example.

C) That there are major areas of concern that need to be addressed in much more detail, including (but not limited to), flooding, drainage, access, traffic, privacy, wildlife breaks, tree protection, and bats.

- 119 To Confirm the Minutes of the previous meeting on 26th July 2021. (Paper 2021/139)
RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting of 26th July 2021 are agreed and be signed.
- 120 To receive the Police Report and allow members to ask questions for information – if any. (Paper 2021/140)
Received.
- 121 To receive a report from the Public Safety Officer and allow members to ask questions for information – if any.
No report received. Apologies received from Craig Lyons.
- 122 To receive the Clerks Report (for information only). (Paper 2021/141)
Received.
- 123 To receive reports from CCllrs and DCllrs (for information only) – if any.
DCllr Handley reported that the ward members grant is still available. He requested that local organisations are reminded to make applications.

DCllr Brockbank reported that she had spoken to the planning manager this morning about the pre-application consultation re Land off Springfield Crescent. That CDC is bound by the local plan and planning laws. The local plan went out to public consultation, with open days at the Town Hall to enable residents to comment. She has asked for any planning application for this site to be referred to the planning committee. This will give both the council and residents the opportunity to go along and speak at the planning committee meeting. She stressed that now is the time to work with the developer to ensure that any scheme is fit for purpose.

DCllr Brockbank agreed to report back to the council in due course regarding how many houses are required on a development before a developer must make a contribution to the local infrastructure.

- 124 Planning: -
- 124.1 To consider and comment upon New Planning Applications: -
- 124.1.1 2021/22740/FUL. Conversion of barn and associated outbuildings into a one-bedroom dwelling and the erection of a shed, in replacement of former tractor shed. At Bar, High West End, Cross Lane, Low Bentham, Lancaster, LA2 7ES.
RESOLVED: The Council has no comments on this application.
- 124.1.2 2021/22952/HH. Alterations to existing garage, creation of new vehicle access and gravel parking area and install new sewage treatment plant. At East View, Mewith Lane, Low Bentham, Lancaster, LA2 7DH.
RESOLVED: The Council has no comments on this application.
- 124.1.3 **Cllr Marshall declared an interest in this item, as a holiday let.**
2021/23010/VAR. Application to remove condition no 3 (operation and management), 4 (class C purpose), and no 5 (sole residence) of planning permission referenced 08/2011/11495 to allow use as private residence. At Sunny Bank Farm, Mewith Lane, Mewith, Bentham, Lancaster, LA2 7AY.
RESOLVED: The Council has no comments on this application.
- 124.1.4 2021/23082/FUL. Change of use of ground floor property from residential to business (self-contained letting apartment). New shop front to replace existing. At Croft House, 56 Main Street, High Street, High Bentham, Lancaster, LA2 7HY.
RESOLVED: The Council has no comments on this application.
- 124.1.5 2021/23092/FUL. Part demolition of porch, demolition of chimney and installation of new metal chimney flue. At Gruskham, Mewith, Bentham, Lancaster, LA2 7AX.
RESOLVED: The Council has no comments on this application.
- 124.1.6 2021/23105/HH. Conversion and extension of detached garage to “Granny” Annex for use ancillary to the main house. At Meadow Bank, Banks Rise, High Bentham, Lancaster, LA2 7JW.
RESOLVED: The Council has no comments on this application.
- 124.1.7 2021/23189/TPO. T1 Horse Chestnut – Fell. T2 Sycamore – Fell. T3&4 Horse Chestnut – Reduce in size. At 35 Robin Lane, High Bentham, Lancaster, LA2 7AB.
RESOLVED: The Council has no comments on this application.
- 124.2 To receive Planning Decisions – See Clerks Report.
Received.
- 124.3 To receive an update regarding the Haweswater Aqueduct Resilience Programme (HARP) planning application.
RESOLVED: Clerk to request that DCllr Brockbank arranges for Bentham Town Council to be added as a consultee.
- 125 Highway and related matters: -
- 125.1 **To consider and agree a way forward regarding: -**
- 125.1.1 Dangerous double-blind bend on hill from Burton to Low Bentham. (Paper 2021/143)
RESOLVED: To invite David Cross from NYCC Highways department for to a site meeting.
- 125.1.2 Dangerous wall on Heritage Trail. (Paper 2021/144)
RESOLVED: To report the matter to NYCC Footpaths department.
- 125.1.3 Traffic calming measures. (Paper 2021/145)
RESOLVED: No further action.
- 125.2 To consider and note Highway Matters for information – if any. (Councillors can comment on any minor highway issues currently causing concern).
Cllr Swales is still pursuing action re the water leak at Tatterthorn Lane, but has been advised that emergencies are always given priority, and that this leak is not an emergency.
RESOLVED: Request NYCC to cut hedge at top of Butts Lane.
RESOLVED: The Council wishes to express its thanks to the two caravaners who recently cut back the hedge at Station Road.

126

To agree the Accounts for payment: -

Andrew Tennant	Playing field grass cutting July and Aug 21	1920.00
DAB Graphics	Way markers for Heritage Trail	127.98
Wenningdale Leisure Ltd - Riverside	CEF for cable to street lights down Duke Street	279.00
Business Stream	Water - cemetery	6.84
Business Stream	Water – Town Hall	21.89
YLCA	Webinar for clerk – Standards of behaviour expected from someone holding public office 19/08/21	22.50
Viking	Desk Diary 2022	11.72
Thomas Graham	Cleaning products	229.90
Fisher Hopper	Valuation of CYB	75.00
UK Safety Management	PAT Testing	186.23
Salaries and Mileage	August 2021	4779.61
Kingsdale Projects	Grass cutting and parish caretaking	2475.00
Settle Area Swimming Pool	2021 Calendar sale profits	1671.37
The Automatic Door Company	Sliding door repair	762.00
NPower	Electric for street lights for last year – final period from 01/04/2020 to 30/11/2020	1671.46
NEST	Pension contributions	83.55
BT Group Plc	TIP phone	46.78
1 & 1 Ionis	Internet	18.00
CDC	Excess weight on trade waste	22.95
SSE Swalec	Unmetered electric supply – monthly for current year	101.49
CDC	Trade waste	55.10
Premium Credit Ltd	Insurance	334.76
Total Gas & Power	Electricity Town Hall	137.09
NEST	Pension contributions	352.49
BT Group Plc	Phone TIP	355.60
BT Group Plc	Phone Town Hall	46.08

RESOLVED: Approved.**RESOLVED: Cllrs Adams and Faraday to authorise.**

127

To discuss The Bentham Masterplan and agree a way forward.

RESOLVED: This item to be included on the agenda at next meeting.**RESOLVED: Clerk to circulate Cllr Handford's notes on the plan to all councillors.**

128

To discuss the position regarding the Community Youth Building and to agree a way forward. (Papers 2021/146, 147A and 147B)

It was reported that Ross Chester has recently examined the roof and advises that a number of tiles are loose and require attention.

RESOLVED: That the Council will hold the public meeting to discuss the future of the Community Youth Building.

129

To consider whether the council wish to obtain a valuation on the Bentham Playing Field, and if so, to consider a quote for a valuation from Fisher Hopper. (Paper 2021/148)

RESOLVED: To proceed and obtain a valuation on the Bentham Playing Field.**RESOLVED: To accept the quote for a valuation from Fisher Hopper.**

- 130 Bentham and Beyond Calendar 2022
- 130.1 To decide who will be the beneficiary of the profit from the 2022 Bentham & Beyond Calendar sales. (Papers 2021/149A and 149B)
RESOLVED: That the beneficiary of the profit from the 2022 Calendar sales will be the Bentham Playing Field Association.
- 130.2 To select photographs for the 2022 Calendar.
RESOLVED: Cllr Adams to consult with Cllrs Handford and Swales and select the photographs. Clerk to be advised of the final decision.
- 131 To consider arrangements for the celebration of the Queen's Platinum Jubilee in July 2022, and agree a way forward. (Papers 2021/150 and 2021/151)
RESOLVED: Carry forward to next agenda.
- 132 To consider the following correspondence and to agree away forward and/or a response:-
- 132.1 National Resilience Strategy consultation. Response requested from the Cabinet Office. To be submitted by 10/09/2021. Circulated 02/08/2021. (Paper 2021/152)
RESOLVED: No response.
- 132.2 Consultation on the Police and Crime Plan and Fire and Rescue Plan. Response requested from Philip Allott, the Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner. To be submitted by 07/11/2021. Circulated 23/08/21. (Paper 2021/153)
RESOLVED: No response.
- 132.3 To discuss the Changing Places Toilets Programme and agree away forward. (Paper 2021/154)
RESOLVED: To express an interest to Craven District Council.
- 132.4 Bus Service Improvement Plan. Request for completed questionnaire from NYCC. To be submitted by 07/09/2021. (Paper 2021/155)
RESOLVED: The response is that the Council would like to see Bentham included in the NYCC Bus Improvement Plan, as it does not have a bus service at all at the moment.
- 133 To receive items of correspondence for information only:
None.
- 134 To receive reports from councillors who represent the Council on other bodies for information only – if any.
Longstaffe Educational Foundation: Cllr Swales reported that nearly £1,000 has been raised in spite of the current difficulties, which is impressive. The funds have been distributed. A nurse, a student and a judo student have all been helped.
- 135 Items for next meeting and minor items for information only.
Items for next agenda: - None.
Minor items: -
The clerk is pursuing a quote for repairs to the clock.
Clerk to arrange for Tom Lawson to repair or board up the broken window at town hall.
The Council wish to congratulate the young people of Bentham for their degree results, with a particular mention for Nathan Jeffs who was recently awarded a first-class honours degree from Cambridge University.
The Council would also like to thank The Bentham Playing Field Association for their wonderful day of events on Sunday 5th September.
- 136 Date of the next meeting: **20th September 2021.**

There being no further business the meeting closed at 21.30.

CLERK'S REPORT TO BENTHAM TOWN COUNCIL, 31st Aug 2021

1. Planning Decisions Received Since 31st July 2021 (Date of last clerk's report).

1.1. GRANTED –

- 1.1.1. 2021/22681/FUL. Conversion of stables to new dwelling. Stables, Fourlands, Low Bentham, Lancaster, LA2 7EX.
- 1.1.2. 2021/22790/LBC. Internal and external alterations, including part=demolition of porch, replacement windows and doors, demolition of chimney, addition of steel flue. At Gruskham, Mewith, Bentham, Lancaster, LA2 7AX.
- 1.1.3. 2021/22897/OUT. Outline application for a single, detached dwelling with all matters reserved except access. Within The Garden Curtilage, at 1 Pye Busk Close, High Bentham, Lancaster, LA2 7BG.
- 1.1.4. 2021/22900/FUL. Install air source heat pump in rear garden. At Gruskham, Mewith, Bentham, Lancaster, LA2 7AX.
- 1.1.5. 2021/22901/LBC. Installation of an air source heat pump in rear garden. At Gruskham, Mewith, Bentham, Lancaster, LA2 7AX.

- 1.2. **REFUSED** – 2020/21363/OUT. Outline application with all matters reserved (except for access), for 18 dwellings. Land to North of Wenning View, Low Bentham Road, High Bentham, Lancaster.

1.3. WITHDRAWN – none

- 1.4. **Split Decision** – 2021/23007/CND. Application to discharge condition no3 (affordable housing), 4 (no windows), 5 (parkin provision), 6 (green infrastructure), 8 (PV Panels Design) of planning permission reference 2020/21310/FUL.

2. Highway's information - none

3. Items circulated by email

3.1. Community First Yorkshire – Weekly updates

- 3.2. **Community Led Housing North Yorkshire and East Riding**. Workshop – The live stages of your community led project 9th September. Circulated 23/08.

3.3. Craven District Council

- 3.3.1. Changing Places Toilets Programme funding announcement and high local interest. 03/08.
- 3.3.2. Parishes Liaison Meeting. Meeting will take place on Zoom on Wednesday 22nd September commencing at 6.30pm. Circulated 04/08.
- 3.3.3. Spatial Planning Notification: Affordable Housing SPD. Adoption date Friday 6th August. Circulated 23/08.

3.4. Lancaster City Council

- 3.4.1. Regulation 18 Consultation on the Climate Emergency of the Review of the Local Plan start 23 July 2021. Also read the Latest News - July 2021. 02/08.

3.5. NALC

- 3.5.1. Chief Executives Bulletins.
- 3.5.2. Coronavirus updates.
- 3.5.3. Online events – regular updates.
- 3.5.4. Election survey. 30/08.

- 3.6. **NYCC**: Minerals and Waste Joint Plan – Main Modifications Consultation. Deadline 5pm Wed 15/09/2021. Circulated 03/08/

3.7. North Yorkshire Community Messaging – North Yorkshire Police

- 3.7.1. Weekly updates

- 3.8. **Rotary Environment** newsletter August 2021. 30/08.

3.9. Rural Services Network

- 3.9.1. Weekly updates.
- 3.10. **YLCA**
 - 3.10.1. Training bulletins.
 - 3.10.2. White Rose updates. 02/08.
 - 3.10.3. National Resilience Strategy Call for Evidence. PC5-21. Circulated 02/08. Closing date for response 10/09.
 - 3.10.4. COUNCILLORS DISCUSSION FORUM SESSION THURSDAY, 5 AUGUST 2021 – 6.45PM – 7.45PM Free of Charge. Circulated 02/08.
 - 3.10.5. Questionnaire: Performance Appraisals in the Local Council Sector. 04/08.
 - 3.10.6. Remote Conference 17-18 September 2021. Circulated 05/08.
 - 3.10.7. Standards of Behaviour Expected of Someone Holding Public Office, such as a Councillor Webinar Session Thursday, 19 August 2021 2.00pm to 3.30pm. Circulated 14/08/21.
 - 3.10.8. Webinar training programme September. 23/08.
 - 3.10.9. Scribifest Virtual Conference details 29th September. Circulated 23/08.
 - 3.10.10. Remote Conference details 17 – 18 September. Circulated 23/08.
 - 3.10.11. COUNCILLORS DISCUSSION FORUM SESSION THURSDAY, 2 SEPTEMBER 2021 – 6.45PM – 7.45PM Free of Charge. Circulated 31/08.
 - 3.10.12. NYCC request for 'call for evidence' on the national resilience strategy. Circulated 31/08. (On 06/09/21 agenda).

POLICE REPORT

Please find your monthly police report for Bentham Town Council meeting 06.09.21

We have had 45 incidents reported between 01.08.21 & 02.09.21

Reports include – General Admin from other Police force, General Admin from other agencies, Safeguarding, Sudden Death, Absconder, burst water main – Mewith Lane, Sudden Death & Lost & found property

False Alarm Sounding, Highway disruption – HGV stuck – Station Road, Covid19 Breach – checked in order, Civil dispute, Sudden Death & Lost & found property

5 incidents relating to Young person's homes & school - joint partnership working with home & Police

6 Incidents – Concern for safety/welfare – Joint partnership working in order

01.08.21 – Civil/ASB dispute

28.07.21 & 03.08.21 - ASB Environmental/broken down vehicle – Low Bentham Checked in order

06.08.21 – Highway disruption – Fuel spillage – Near Lowther Hill

07.08.21 – RTC – 3 vehicles – Main Street High Bentham

07.08.21 – Crime Violence

09.08.21 & 17.08.21 – Criminal damage to vehicle/property

13.08.21 – Crime Fraud – Working pensions

17.08.21 – ASB Nuisance – Station Road – in order

18.08.21 – Crime offence

18.08.21 – Crime Violence

24.08.21 – ASB Personal/neighbour dispute

24.08.21 – ASB Personal

26.08.21 – Concern for safety/welfare – joint partnership working

28.08.21 – RTC – 2 vehicle – Dumb Toms Lane

28.08.21 – Domestic Incident

30.08.21 – Crime Fraud – Amazon

Please report & suspect incidents at the time on 101 or 999 if urgent.

Working to keep North Yorkshire a safe place to live, visit & work please visit

www.northyorkshire.police.uk

Useful site re Crime and policing in England which allows you to search re incident recorded in your area www.police.uk

You can also report safety issues under speed concerns on - www.roadwise.co.uk.

Contact Information:

1 Ring 999 in an emergency or to report a crime in progress

2 Ring 101 to report incidents or provide information

3 Ring Crime Stoppers on 0800 555 111 to remain anonymous

4 E-mail SNACraven@northyorkshire.pnn.police.uk

Kind Regards

Jayne

PCSO Jayne Grace

Collar number 5561

Dedicated Safer Neighbourhood PCSO

Settle Ingleton & Bentham area

North Yorkshire Police

101

Jayne.Grace@northyorkshire.police.uk